

## Secretary Report July 2001

This report details the day to day activities of the ASCA Board of Directors and includes issues before the ASCA Board and communications done by mail, fax, email and/or phone.

July 1-31, 2001

93:19 Board Policies--Discipline

Motion by Berryessa, second by Trumbull-Clark

I move that the following be placed in the policy manual under Discipline:

Any communications that request inclusion of a complaint letter in a Judge's or member's file shall also be sent to the person the complaint is about advising them that they may prepare a rebuttal statement that will also be placed in the file.

The person requesting the inclusion of the complaint letter will be sent a letter advising them of this policy before the complaint letter is sent on to the Judge or member. If they withdraw their request, the letter will not be included in the Judge's or member's file or be sent onto the Judge or member.

Comment: We do not have a standard procedure to deal with complaint letters whose author does not want to file an Official Complaint Form. Anyone who is having a complaint letter placed in their file should have the opportunity to know of the letter and the chance to give a rebuttal statement.

Voting:

Approve: Adolphson, Aufox, Berryessa, DeChant, Rossy, Toft,

Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Disapprove: Davenport

Motion is approved

93:19 Board Policies--Travel Expense Policies

Motion by Trumbull-Clark, second by Toft

I move to amend ASCA Policies #6.12.4b; #6.12.5a and b; #6.12.11.1a(1); #6.12.11.2a (1) and #6.12.11.3a (1) as follows:

#6.12.4b, to read "ASCA will allow a reimbursement per the Standard Mileage Rate as published by the IRS for travel to and from the airport departure." The rest of 6.12.4 to remain the same.

#6.12.5a, to read "Ground travel expense to meetings will be reimbursed per the Standard Mileage Rate published by the IRS or the equivalent of the most economical airfare..."

36.12.5b, to read "While in the area of the meeting site, ASCA will allow a reimbursement per the Standard Mileage Rate as published by the IRS when the vehicle is used..."

#6.12.11.1a(1), to read "Round trip air fare or mileage per the Standard Mileage Rate as published by the IRS, (whichever is lower)."

#6.12.11.2a(1), to read "Round trip airfare or mileage per the Standard Mileage Rate as published by the IRS, (whichever is lower)."

#6.12.11.3a(1), to read "Round trip airfare or mileage per the Standard Mileage Rate as published by the IRS, (whichever is lower)."

Comments: The current policies named above each use a numerical amount for payment of mileage, \$.25 a mile. This amount is currently well below the Standard Mileage Rate as published by the IRS which is (today) 32.5 cents/mile. By changing the wording to reflect what is and will be published by the IRS, we shall keep the policy current and correct. It has been usual to pay the cost of airfare for the last couple of years, as it has been lower than mileage, so these changes do not make a radical change, but do bring us current and correct with allowable mileage payments.

Voting:

Approve: Adolphson, Berryessa, Rossy, Toft, Trumbull-Clark

Disapprove: Aufox, Davenport, DeChant, Warren

Motion is approved

97:14 DNA Committee--(ASCA-DNA) Hardship motion-Revised

Motion by Aufox, second by Warren

Moved that the DNA Committee's Hardship rules #97.14 which is deemed approved on July 10, 2001 be deleted and replaced with the following:

under ELIGIBILITY #9-

Add in after..."All applicant dogs must be DNA profiled by an approved ASCA laboratory"

9a) IF the applicant dog's Sire and Dam are alive and available, the applicant dog MUST be profiled DNA-VP. The costs of which are to be borne by the applicant.

9b) IF the applicant dog's Sire and/or Dam are deceased or unavailable, the applicant dog will then be profiled DNA-CP. A dog's Sire and/or Dam are considered unavailable, if the owner refuses to allow DNA, the owner cannot be found or the owner refuses to cooperate with the collection of the samples needed.

9c) IF the applicant dog is to be registered as "NOT FOR BREEDING" the protocol in 9a or 9b must still be followed.

9d) IF the applicant dog is DNA-CP profiled (under sec. 9b) the applicant must sign a release form acknowledging all ASCA rights and registry privileges will be revoked should evidence of either (i) the hardship applicant's Sire or Dam were, in fact, available or (ii) that any information supplied by the applicant was incomplete or inaccurate.

9e) All applicants for dogs (either DNA-CP or DNA-VP) will provide a CERTIFIED pedigree(s) covering AT LEAST 3 generations including all registration numbers, from all the registry(s) in which the applicant dog and its parents and grandparents are currently or were registered. All certified pedigree(s) must state that the dog/bitch, its parents and grandparents or registered breed is an Australian Shepherd.

under REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS:

Remove #3

4) For applicant dogs to be DNA-VP Hardship registered (under sec. 9a) Submit (3) color photos, (full body, left side; full body right side; and full front view) for the applicant dog, as well as its Sire and Dam. Photos must be clear. No Polaroid photos accepted.

Comments: The previous rules were incomplete and did not cover inaccurate or incomplete information. Since amending motions is not allowed this is the only mechanism left.

Voting:

Approve: Aufox, Davenport, DeChant, Rossy, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Disapprove: Adolphson, Berryessa

Motion is approved

Letter of Dissent: Peter C. Adolphson: 7/31/01

This letter of dissent is being submitted for the record in order to publicly document my disapproval of this motion because of the methods and processes employed by members of the ASCA Board for communicating and interacting with committees and committee members, addressing general issues, and voting on rules, policies and procedures. As a matter of principle, I hereby issue my opinion that the process and procedures used to put forth the motion "97:14 DNA COMMITTEE - [ASCA-DNA] Hardship motion - Revised" did not follow historical Board procedures, particularly when interacting with an ASCA

committee. Specifically, neither the DNA and Genetics Committee members nor their liaison were consulted concerning the alterations made to their original motion. No attempt was made by the makers to request that the committee conduct a formal committee vote or consensus opinion regarding the changes to the original committee motion, nor was any attempt made to obtain informal committee opinions by the makers, nor was a courtesy explanation of the changes given to the committee by the makers.

Historically, the authority to put forth recommendations to the Board concerning DNA requirements was approved by the Board through the following mission statement of the DNA and Genetics committee approved in

July 1997:

Mission Statement:

The DNA Committee of the Australian Shepherd Club of America has been established by the ASCA Board of Directors with the explicit mission of developing policies and procedures for the implementation of a DNA program which fairly and with universal equity supports the ethical and practical breeding of the Australian Shepherd by its members in order to promote and preserve the genetic integrity of the Australian Shepherd breed as defined by its members and set forth in the ASCA Standard. This mission may be accomplished by, but is not limited to:

- Using the best, most practical, and scientifically acceptable methodologies available for parentage verification and research of genetically-based diseases;
- Educating the membership by any and all means practical;
- Surveying the members to ascertain their level of satisfaction with regards to the management and direction of the program;
- Promoting and encouraging the use of the program by offering incentives to its members for active support and/or participation; and
- Recommending program changes to the Board of Directors thus ensuring that the program verifies and maintains the genetic integrity of the breed registry of the Australian Shepherd Club of America.

And, although it may be argued that the intent of the original motion was not altered significantly, if the process which was employed were to remain unchallenged and undocumented at this juncture, an unchallenged precedent would have been recorded in the historical records of ASCA. This letter of dissent formally puts the Board on notice that I fervently disapprove of the type of actions demonstrated here, as they represent disregard for membership input, whether intentional or unintentional in nature. These actions also ignore the status of ASCA as a membership Club and imply a non-democratic government. Furthermore, I request that the interpretation of parliamentary and Board procedure and its relation to the ASCA bylaws be addressed with swiftness and focus to prevent further deterioration of the governmental process. The above-mentioned issues should be addressed via a formal review by a third party parliamentarian to prevent further misapplication of presumed Board authority.

Peter C. Adolphson

01:13 Hardship/LEP Committee--Members

Motion by Trumbull-Clark, second by Toft

I move to accept Jonna Cole, Lori Acierto, and Bonnie Thomas as the three members to the Hardship/LEP Evaluation Committee required by the motion passed March 9, 2001.

Comments: The motion required resumes to be received by July 1, 2001.

The above named people's resumes were the resumes received by that date.

Voting:

Approve: Adolphson, Berryessa, Davenport, DeChant, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Abstain: Aufox, Rossy

Motion is approved

80:33 Hosting Nationals--Agility Finals

Motion by Toft, second by Trumbull-Clark

I move to add the following to the National Specialty guidelines to be inserted in the section titled REQUIRED RIBBONS AND AWARDS after the Obedience Finals and before the National Junior Handling Finals:

Agility Finals (ASCA sponsored if no outside sponsorship is obtained)

(Year) ASCA Champion Agility Dog

(Year) ASCA Agility Finals Overall

(Year) ASCA Agility Finals Regular Round #1

(Year) ASCA Agility Finals Regular Round #2

(Year) ASCA Agility Finals Jumpers

(Year) ASCA Agility Finals Gamblers

First place in all classes will be blue ribbon

Second place in all classes will be red ribbon

Third place in all classes will be yellow ribbon

Fourth place in all classes will be white ribbon

Fifth place in all classes will be pink ribbon

Sixth place in all classes will be green ribbon

Seventh place in all classes will be purple ribbon

Eighth place in all classes will be brown ribbon

Ninth place in all classes will be teal ribbon

Tenth place in all classes will be maroon ribbon

All exhibitors not in the ten placements shall be awarded a ribbon with "Finalist" on the streamer instead of a placement

Comments: This listing is for the new Agility Finals program and should be included in the National Specialty guidelines.

Voting:

Approve: Adolphson, Berryessa, Davenport, DeChant, Rossy, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Disapprove: Aufox

Motion is approved

80:33 Hosting Nationals-Obedience Finals Sec. 13 & 14

Motion by Toft, second by Trumbull-Clark

I move to add the following to the National Specialty Guidelines:

Section 13: Obedience Finals-Please see Obedience Rule Book for complete rules.

13.1 Budget: Host Club shall submit a proposed budget to the Board and Obedience Committee at least six (6) months prior to the Finals.

13.2 Funds: Entry fees collected by the Office will be used to cover any expenses the Host Club(s) may incur including awards. The Business Office will keep an accounting of all monies received for the Finals fund and is responsible for informing the Host Club(s), the Board of Directors and the ASCA Obedience Committee as to the amount received by August 1st of the competition year. The Host Club(s) will submit their expenses to the ASCA Board of Directors. When all expenses have been met any additional monies will be used for funding the next year's competition.

13.3 Positions necessary: 2 judges, 6 stewards

13.4 Equipment necessary: 2 complete ring set-ups, 2 full sets of regulation jumps, arm bands, chairs and tables for each ring, calculators (2), stopwatches (2), clipboards (2), stapler and staples, scotch tape, white-out, push pins, broad-tip markers, pads of lined paper (2), pens, scoreboard to post scores, shade or covered areas for Judges, Run Order for each Judge, cards for exercises for Super Dog. If mats are required, the entire ring(s) should be matted.

13.5 Trophies and Ribbons: Costs for trophies and ribbons shall come from entry fees and/or donated monies. The Host Club(s) is responsible for procuring trophies and ribbons. All placements will be awarded including non-qualifying scores.

a.) Trophies: A special award or trophy will be given to the winner of each class (Novice, Open, Utility and Super Dog).

b.) Ribbons: Rosettes will be awarded for each class placement from 1st to 10th place. All exhibitors not placing in the top ten in each class will receive participation rosette labeled "Finalist". All ribbons will have the date and location of the Obedience Finals printed on them. (Please see [new numbered] Section 21 for complete list of ribbons required.)

13.6 The National Catalog will contain a list of all Finals Qualifiers by class. The following information must be provided for each dog: sire, dam, owner, date of birth, sex, breeder, handler.

13.7 The Host Club will provide the Aussie Times Editor with the Results of the Finals, to be published with the Show/Trial report for the Nationals. Each dog will be listed, with the owner identified and all scores earned.

Section 14: Agility Finals--Please see Agility Rule Book for complete rules.

14.1 Budget: Host Club shall submit a proposed budget to the Board and Agility Committee at least six (6) months prior to the Finals.

14.2 Funds: Entry fees collected by the Office will be used to cover any expenses the Host Club(s) may incur including awards. The Business Office will keep an accounting of all monies received for the Finals fund and is responsible for informing the Host Club(s), the Board of Directors and the ASCA Agility Committee as to the amount received by August 1st of the competition year. The Host Club(s) will submit their expenses to the ASCA Board of Directors. When all expenses have been met any additional monies will be used for funding the next year's competition.

14.3 Positions necessary:

1 judge

2 timers

1 scribe

1 gate keeper

1 score runner

3 jump setters

1 chute setter

1 scorer (scoretable)

ribbon preparers (can be the same people as above)

4 course builders (can be the same people as above)

14.4 Equipment necessary: Regulation obstacles, arm bands, chairs and tables for each ring, calculators (2), stopwatches (2), clipboards (2), stapler and staples, scotch tape, white-out, push pins, broad-tip markers, pads of lined paper (2), pens, scoreboard to post scores, shade or covered areas for Judges, Run Order for Judge.

14.5 Trophies and Ribbons: Costs for trophies and ribbons shall come from entry fees and/or donated monies. The Host Club(s) is responsible for procuring trophies and ribbons. All placements will be awarded including non-qualifying scores.

a.) Trophies: A special award or trophy will be given to the winner of each class (Regular Round #1, Regular Round #2, Jumpers, Gamblers).

b.) Ribbons: Rosettes will be awarded for each class placement and for Overall from 1st to 10th place. All exhibitors not placing in the top ten in each class will receive a participation rosette labeled "Finalist". The Champion Agility Dog shall receive a rosette. All ribbons will have the date, placement and location of the Agility Finals printed on them. (Please see [new numbered] Section 21 for complete list of ribbons required.) All class and Overall placement and Finalist ribbons shall have a side streamer with the dog's full registered name and titles printed on it.

14.6 The National Catalog will contain a list of all Finals Qualifiers by class. The following information must be provided for each dog: sire, dam, owner, date of birth, sex, breeder, handler.

14.7 The Host Club will provide the Aussie Times Editor with the Results of the Finals, to be published with the Show/Trial report for the Nationals. Each dog will be listed, with the owner identified and all scores earned.

The current Section 13 renumbered as Section 15

The current Section 14 renumbered as Section 16

The current Section 15 renumbered as Section 17

The current Section 16 renumbered as Section 18

The current Section 17 renumbered as Section 19

The current Section 18 renumbered as Section 20

Comments: These new programs need to be included in the National Specialty Guidelines.

Voting:

Approve: Adolphson, Berryessa, Davenport, DeChant, Rossy, Toft,

Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Disapprove: Aufox

Motion is approved

00:29 Registry Rules

Motion by Berryessa, second by Davenport

Effective 1-1-2002 the following changes will be made to the ASCA Registry General Rules and Regulations:

Kennel Name Regulations:

1. Change to read: Kennel names registered from 1971 to 1/15/76 are a lifetime issue and may not be reassigned except by transfer. There are no further kennel renewal fees, unless the kennel name is transferred.
2. Add: The original owner of the kennel name may renew or transfer the abandoned kennel name for the first 5 years following abandonment of the kennel name, but after the 5 years has passed, anyone can register the kennel name as their own.
3. Split off the 2 last sentences "Applicant(s) must have been an ASCA member(s) in good standing for two (2) previous consecutive years. Applicant(s) must be 18 years of age or older" and make them section 1 and renumber accordingly.

Change the second sentence to read: "Once the kennel name is accepted and registered with ASCA, no other breeder or owner will be permitted to use the Kennel Name or sound alike names without written permission of the recorded owner(s) of said Kennel Name", when the Kennel Name is placed at the beginning of a dog's name or at the end when it is preceded by the word "of".

4. Delete

5. Add: It is suggested that the kennel name not contain the words Aussies, Kennels, Farms, etc as the entire kennel name is required to be used when naming the dog.

## 6. Delete

Keep 7 through 17.

Change sentence 2 under Required Fees: Delete the last sentence and add:

Member rates will apply when a full member pays the registration on a dog co-owned with a non-member or service member or when the breeder, who is a full ASCA member, pays the litter or registration fees for puppies they have bred or co-bred.

This motion clarifies some unclear areas in the Kennel name regulations and also limits the exclusion of words contained in a kennel name to certain locations.

Voting:

Approve: Adolphson, Berryessa, Davenport, DeChant, Rossy, Toft,

Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Abstain: Aufox

Motion is approved

00:20 Registry Rules--6th Paragraph

Motion by Adolphson, second by Berryessa

I move that the 6th paragraph of the ASCA General Registry Rules and Regulations, "Record Keeping" section be modified by adding "of the litter owner" to read as follows.

Failure of litter owner(s) to supply the puppy buyer(s) with an Individual Registration Application may result in loss of ASCA privileges of the litter owner, after review by the ASCA BOD.

Comments: This simply clarifies to whom the revocation of ASCA privileges applies.

Voting:

Approve: Unanimous

Motion is approved

00:20 Registry Rules--Whole Litter Individual Registration

Motion by Trumbull-Clark, second by Toft

I move to accept the following as a new program for Litter Owners in order to Individually Register every puppy in a litter at a discount. These rules to be placed in the Registry Rules as follows, effective January 1, 2002:

#### WHOLE LITTER INDIVIDUAL REGISTRATION

The Litter Owner may send to the Business Office, in a single package, all the Individual Registration Applications, properly completed, with the appropriate fee listed below.

1. Every puppy in the litter (other than those listed as died or culled on the Litter Application or those mentioned in #2 below) must be individually registered with either full privileges or as Not for Breeding by the Litter Owner. All completed Individual Registration Applications for the litter must be received by the Business Office at one time.

2. If a puppy has died after the Individual Registration Application has been sent to the Litter Owner, the owner shall write boldly across the original Application "deceased" or similar wording to indicate the puppy died. This original Application shall be included with the complete package of the litter's Individual Registration Applications but shall not be counted in the number of pups in litter when calculating fees.

#### 3. Fees

Litter age: 1-12 months - \$7.00 per puppy

Litter age: 12-24 months - \$15.00 per puppy

Litter age: 24 months and over - \$30.00 per puppy

Comments: It is true that only a small portion of pups per litter ever get Individually Registered. This new program allows the Litter Owner to individually register their pups in a group at a discounted rate. Allowing for more registered dogs for the Breeder and ASCA. If a Litter Owner desires to have all pups in a litter Individually Registered, the above allows for a discounted price from the Individual Registration fees. A single Individual Registration fees today are: 1-12 months \$10, 12-24 months \$20, over 24 months \$40. We have discounted the cost for each individual and made it less if more pups are registered sooner.

Voting:

Approve: Adolphson, Berryessa, Rossy, Toft, Trumbull-Clark, Warren

Disapprove: Aufox, Davenport, DeChant

Motion is approved

APPROVED COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 90:38 Agility Committee--Jump Height Changes

Motion by Jean Janotta and seconded by Ron Schumann. There were 10 votes in favor and 3 abstain.

The Agility Committee recommends to the Board that the following changes be made in Section 2.3- Jump Height Tables and that if this recommendation is approved by the Board it be published in the AUSSIE TIMES and in the June 2002 edition of the Agility Rulebook:

In the current table "Dog's Height at Withers for Dual Sanctioned ASCA/NADAC Trials, change 4 column headers:

- the column header that now reads "13" and Under" (thirteen inches and under) be changed to "14" and Under" (fourteen inches and under)
- the column header that now reads "17" and Under" (seventeen inches and under) be changed to "18" and Under" (eighteen inches and under)
- the column header that now reads "20" and Under" (twenty inches and under) be changed to "21" and Under" (twenty-one inches and under)
- the column header that now reads "Over 20"" (over twenty inches) be changed to "Over 21"" (over 21 inches)

In the current table 'Dog's Height at Withers for ASCA-only Sanctioned Trials, change 2 column headers:

- the column header that now reads "13" and Under" (thirteen inches and under) be changed to "14" and Under" (fourteen inches and under)
- the column header that now reads "17" and Under" (seventeen inches and under) be changed to "18" and Under" (eighteen inches and under)

Discussion: NADAC had decided to raise shoulder cutoffs for the 12", 16", and 20" jump heights. The NADAC 20" jump height shoulder cutoff will now be brought into alignment with the ASCA shoulder cutoff.

#### 97:14 DNA Committee--Hardship motion

under ELIGIBILITY #9--Add in after...."All applicant dogs must be DNA profiled by an approved ASCA lab"

9a) IF the applicant dog's Sire and Dam are alive and available, the applicant dog MUST be profiled DNA-VP. The costs of which are to be borne by the applicant.

9b) IF the applicant dog's Sire and/or Dam are deceased or unavailable, the applicant dog will then be profiled DNA-CP.

9c) IF the applicant dog is to be registered as "NOT FOR BREEDING" then a DNA-CP only profile is required.

9d) IF the applicant dog is DNA-CP profiled (under sec. 9b) the applicant must sign a release form acknowledging all ASCA rights and registry privileges will be revoked should evidence of the hardship applicant's Sire or Dam conflict with the claims of parent unavailability.

9e) All applicants for dogs (either DNA-CP or DNA-VP) will provide a CERTIFIED pedigree(s) of AT LEAST 3 generations including all registration numbers, from the registry(s) in which the applicant dog is currently registered. There MUST BE NO "unknowns" in the first 3 generations of the pedigree(s).

under REGISTRATION REQUIREMENTS:

Remove #3

4) For applicant dogs to be DNA-VP Hardship registered. (under sec. 9a) Submit (3) color photos, (full body left side; full body right side; and full front view) for the applicant dog, as well as its Sire and Dam. Photos must be clear. No Polaroid photos accepted.

Rationale:

Although the requisition of the certified pedigree does not fall under the realm of the DNA Committee, the ASCA DNA and Genetics Committee respectfully requests that the BOD include this request as part of the motion. It is felt that the inclusion of a certified pedigree when paired with DNA profiling will aid significantly in the protection of the integrity of our registry. It is also hoped that the Hardship Request form will include the addition of a space for a tattoo, microchip, CERF or OFA number, for the applicant dog, and its Sire and Dam. This should also include the DNA-CP profiled "NOT FOR BREEDING" dog, as this dog has the potential to become a vital addition to the DNA data base. These are all permanent forms of identification and when paired with DNA profiling will provide added security for the registry.

Committee voting: Yes--CA, George, Laura, Joan, Peter

No--Kim, Kristin, Chris, Susan

Abstain--Sally

5 for, 4 against, 1 abstained, motion passes.

98:11 Obedience Rules--(2 dog minimum)

The Obedience Committee recommends to the Board of Directors the following motion, effective as soon as possible:

To remove the two (2) dog minimum criteria from the Open Stay exercises to allow just one dog to compete and qualify, the following changes to the Obedience Rules will apply:

## Chapter 1, Section 2

Delete the second sentence of the second paragraph: "In the Open Classes, in order to earn a qualifying score, there must be a minimum of two (2) dogs competing in the Open Group Exercises."

## Chapter 4, Section 4

Put a period after: Sanctioned Obedience Trials

Delete: "provided the minimum of two (2) dogs participate in the Open Group Exercises."

Add a sentence in Chapter 4, Section 14 at the conclusion of the first paragraph as follows: "A Group, in the case of the Open Class only, shall consist of one or more dogs and their handler/s."

Motion by Evans, second Burlingame

Approved: Bohren, Burlingame, Evans, Hamelin, Link, Swatko, White

Abstain: Case, Cyboron

Against: Willems

Non-Voting: Mann

Comments: The Committee felt that a single dog should not be penalized if no other Open dog was entered and since the only difference between the Novice and Open stays was time and distance, the dog had already passed the "other dogs in the ring" part in Novice. Also, even under the existing regulations it is possible for a dog to earn a leg by doing a long sit (down) by itself in the ring. Suppose that during a group sit (down) a dog breaks its sit (down) because of some unexpected event. The judge has the option to rejudging the dog that broke. According to Chapter 2, Section 8, "should there be no further group within that class the dog or dogs involved shall immediately do the exercises alone."

## 98:11 Obedience Rules--LEP

The Obedience Committee recommends to the Board, the following motion, effective as soon as possible.

Add to the Obedience Rules, after the first sentence of Chapter 1, Section 1.10 the following sentence:

For the purposes of all ASCA awards, rankings and trials, LEP Australian Shepherds are considered ASCA Registered Australian Shepherds.

This section would then read as follows:

1.10 Obedience classes need not be limited to Australian Shepherds only, with the exception of a SPECIALTY, then it shall be for ASCA registered Australian Shepherds ONLY. For the purposes of all ASCA awards, rankings and trials, LEP Australian Shepherds are considered ASCA Registered Australian Shepherds. Other breeds entered in obedience trials sanctioned by ASCA Affiliate Clubs must abide by ASCA Rules and Regulations.

Motion by Evans, second Burlingame

Approved: Bohren, Burlingame, Case, Evans, Link, Mann, Swatko, White,

Cyboron, Willems,

Non-voting: Hamelin

The Committee wanted this in the rules so that there would be no confusion as to our intent.

Approved: S. Louisiana ASC as a new Affiliate Club

Antelope Valley ASC as a new Affiliate Club

Golddust ASC as a new Affiliate Club

Request by Leslie Sorensen to reprint Volume 1, Number 1 of the Aussie Times

#### BUSINESS OFFICE REPORT

REGISTRY	MEMBERSHIP	SHOW/STOCK
Ind 659	New-Single 43	Sanc Rec'd 29
NFB 53	New-Dual 14	Sanc Proc'd 31
Litter 324	New-Foreign 2	Sanc Pend 7
Trans 119	New-Canadian 0	Results Rec'd 34
Lease 14	Renew-Single 202	Results Proc'd 39
LEP 14	Renew-Dual 54	Results Pend 5
Dups 25	Renew-Foreign 3	Certificates 1298
Hardship 10	Renew-Canadian 2	S & T Subscrip 15
Pedigree 27	Jr. Times 6	
New Ken 4	Affiliate New 2	

Renew Ken 14

Affiliate Renew 0

Judges Apps 1

SHOWS HELD

Email 1910

DNA Kits 23

Conf/Obed shows 41

DNA Tests 65

Stock 19

Ranch 1

Agility 10

Tracking 0

Kris Toft, Secretary